

References from: Cobb, C. D. (2020). Geospatial Analysis: A New Window Into Educational Equity, Access, and Opportunity. *Review of Research in Education*, 44(1), 97–129. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0091732X20907362>

Acevedo-Garcia, D., Osypuk, T. L., McArdle, N., & Williams, D. R. (2008). Toward a policy-relevant analysis of geographic and racial/ethnic disparities in child health. *Health Affairs*, 27(2), 321–333. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.27.2.321>

Archbald, D., Hurwitz, A., & Hurwitz, F. (2018). Charter schools, parent choice, and segregation: A longitudinal study of the growth of charters and changing enrollment patterns in five school districts over 26 years. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 26(22). <https://doi.org/10.14507/epaa.26.2921>

Ball, S. J. (2003). *Class strategies and the education market*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203218952>

Ball, S. J., & Nikita, D. P. (2014). The global middle class and school choice: A cosmopolitan sociology. *Zeitschrift für Erziehungswissenschaft*, 17(3), 81–93. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11618-014-0523-4>

Bell, C. A. (2007). Space and place: Urban parents' geographical preferences for schools. *The Urban Review*, 39(4), 375–404. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11256-007-0059-5>

Bell, C. (2009). Geography in parental choice. *American Journal of Education*, 115(4), 493–521. <https://doi.org/10.1086/599779>

Bifulco, R. (2014). Charter school location: Evidence and policy implications. In G. K. Ingram & D. A. Kenyon (Eds.), *Education, land, and location* (pp. 243–266). Lincoln Institute of Land Policy.

Boggs, E., & Dabrowski, L. (2017, September). *Out of balance: Subsidized housing, segregation, and opportunity in Connecticut*. Open Communities Alliance. <http://www.ctoca.org/outofbalance>

Briggs, X. (2005). *The geography of opportunity: Race and housing choice in metropolitan America*. Brookings Institution Press.

Bruno, J. E. (1996). Use of geographical information systems (GIS) mapping procedures to support educational policy analysis and school site management. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 10(6), 24–31. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513549610151677>

Burdick-Will, J., Keels, M., & Schuble, T. (2013). Closing and opening schools: The association between neighborhood characteristics and the location of new educational opportunities in a large urban district. *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 35(1), 59–80. <https://doi.org/10.1111/juaf.12004>

Bürgi, R., Tomatis, L., Murer, K., & de Bruin, E. D. (2016). Spatial physical activity patterns among primary school children living in neighbourhoods of varying socioeconomic status: A cross-sectional study using accelerometry and global positioning system. *BMC Public Health*, 16(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-2954-8>

- Câmara, G., Sposati, A., Koga, D., Monteiro, A. M., Ramos, F. R., Camargo, E., & Fuks, S. D. (2004). Mapping social exclusion and inclusion in developing countries. In M. F. Goodchild & D. G. Janelle (Eds.), *Spatially integrated social science* (pp. 223–238). Oxford University Press.
- Chatterton, P. (2010). Seeking the urban common: Furthering the debate on spatial justice. *City*, 14(6), 625–628. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13604813.2010.525304>
- Chumacero, R. A., Gómez, D., & Paredes, R. D. (2011). I would walk 500 miles (if it paid): Vouchers and school choice in Chile. *Economics of Education Review*, 30(5), 1103–1114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econedurev.2011.05.015>
- Cobb, C. D. (2003). Geographic methods and policy: Using geographic information systems to inform education policy. *Educational Research Quarterly*, 27(1), 28–39.
- Cobb, C. D. (2019). A geographic account of economic, health, and educational disparities in Hartford's Sheff region. *Humboldt Journal of Social Relations*, 41, 84–100.
- Cobb, C. D., & Glass, G. V. (1999). Ethnic segregation in Arizona charter schools. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.14507/epaa.v7n1.1999>
- The College of William and Mary and the Minnesota Population Center. (2011). *School Attendance Boundary Information System (SABINS): Version 1.0*. University of Minnesota.
- Collingwood, L., Jochim, A., & Oskooii, K. A. R. (2018). The politics of choice reconsidered: Partisanship, ideology, and minority politics in Washington's charter school initiative. *State Politics & Policy Quarterly*, 18(1), 61–92. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1532440017748569>
- Corcoran, C., & Saxe, K. (2014). Redistricting and district compactness. *The Mathematics of Decisions, Elections, and Games*, Providence: American Mathematical Society, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/624/12476>
- Dopp, K. A., & Godfrey, N. (2012). Legislative redistricting—compactness and population density fairness. Available at SSRN 1945879. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1945879>
- Dougherty, J., Harrelson, J., Maloney, L., Murphy, D., Smith, R., Snow, M., & Zannoni, D. (2009). School choice in suburbia: Test scores, race, and housing markets. *American Journal of Education*, 115(4), 523–548. <https://doi.org/10.1086/599780>
- Dunn, C. E. (2007). Participatory GIS—a people's GIS? *Progress in Human Geography*, 31(5), 616–637. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132507081493>
- Elwood, S. (2006). Critical issues in participatory GIS: Deconstructions, reconstructions, and new research directions. *Transactions in GIS*, 10(5), 693–708. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9671.2006.01023.x>
- Elwood, S., & Cope, M. (2009). Qualitative GIS: Forging mixed methods through representations, analytical innovations, and conceptual engagements. In M. Cope & S. Elwood (Eds.), *Qualitative GIS: A mixed methods approach* (pp. 1–12). Sage.

- <https://doi.org/10.4135/9780857024541.n1> Environmental Systems Research Institute. (2018). *ArcGIS 10.6*.
- Galster, G. C. (2011). The mechanism(s) of effects: Theory, evidence and policy implications. In M. van Ham, D. Manley, N. Bailey, L. Simpson, & D. Maclennan (Eds.), *Neighbourhood effects research: New perspectives*. Springer.
- Galster, G. C., & Killen, S. P. (1995). The geography of metropolitan opportunity: A reconnaissance and conceptual framework. *Housing Policy Debate*, 6(1), 7–43. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10511482.1995.9521180>
- Ghose, R., & Welcenbach, T. (2018). “Power to the people”: Contesting urban poverty and power inequities through open GIS. *Canadian Geographer/Le Géographe Canadien*, 62(1), 67–80. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cag.12442>
- Gilblom, E. A., & Sang, H. I. (2019). Schools as market-based clusters: Geospatial and statistical analysis of charter schools in Ohio. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 27(15). <https://doi.org/10.14507/epaa.27.4091>
- Glomm, G., Harris, D., & Lo, T.-F. (2005). Charter school location. *Economics of Education Review*, 24(4), 451–457. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econedurev.2004.04.011>
- Goodchild, M. F., & Janelle, D. G. (2004). Thinking spatially in the social sciences. In M. F. Goodchild & D. G. Janelle (Eds.), *Spatially integrated social science* (pp. 3–22). Oxford University Press.
- Green, T. L. (2015). Places of inequality, places of possibility: Mapping “opportunity in geography” across urban school-communities. *The Urban Review*, 47(4), 717–741. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11256-015-0331-z>
- Green, T. L., Sánchez, J. & Germain, E. (2017). Communities and school ratings: Examining geography of opportunity in an urban school district located in a resource-rich city. *The Urban Review*, 49(5), 777–804. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11256-017-0421-1>
- Gulosino, C., & d’Entremont, C. (2011). Circles of influence: An analysis of charter school location and racial patterns at varying geographic scales. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 19. <https://doi.org/10.14507/epaa.v19n8.2011>
- Gulosino, C., & Lubienski, C. (2011). School’s strategic responses to competition in segregated urban areas: Patterns in school locations in metropolitan Detroit. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 19(13). <https://doi.org/10.14507/epaa.v19n13.2011>
- Gulosino, C., & Maxwell, P. (2018). A comprehensive framework for evaluating Shelby County School District’s voluntary preschool program: The challenges of equity, choice, efficiency, and social cohesion. *Urban Education*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042085918801885>
- Gulson, K. N., & Symes, C. (2007). *Spatial theories of education: Policy and geography matters*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203940983>

- Helbich, M. (2017). Children's school commuting in the Netherlands: Does it matter how urban form is incorporated in mode choice models? *International Journal of Sustainable Transportation*, 11(7), 507–517. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15568318.2016.1275892>
- Hillman, N. W. (2016). Geography of college opportunity: The case of education deserts. *American Educational Research Journal*, 53(4), 987–1021. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831216653204>
- Hogrebe, M. C. (2012). Adding geospatial perspective to research on schools, communities, and neighborhoods. In W. Tate IV (Ed.), *Research on schools, neighborhoods, and communities: Toward civic responsibility* (pp. 151–159). Rowman & Littlefield.
- Hogrebe, M. C., & Tate, W. F., IV. (2012). Geospatial perspective: Toward a visual political literacy project in education, health, and human services. *Review of Research in Education*, 36(1), 67–94. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0091732X11422861>
- Hogrebe, M. C., & Tate, W. F. (2019). Residential segregation across metro St. Louis school districts: Examining the intersection of two spatial dimensions. *AERA Open*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2332858419837241>
- Holloway, S., Hubbard, P., Jöns, H., & Pimlott-Wilson, H. (2010). Geographies of education and the significance of children, youth and families. *Progress in Human Geography*, 34(5), 583–600. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132510362601>
- Jabbar, H., Sánchez, J., & Epstein, E. (2017). Getting from here to there: The role of geography in community college students' transfer decisions. *The Urban Review*, 49(5), 746–776. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11256-017-0420-2>
- Jones, B. D., Harris, K. D., & Tate, W. F. (2015). Ferguson and beyond: A descriptive epidemiological study using geospatial analysis. *Journal of Negro Education*, 84(3), 231–253. <https://doi.org/10.7709/jnegroeducation.84.3.0231>
- Jones, P. A. (2018). The influence of charter school competition on public school district revenues across the U.S. *Journal of Education Finance*, 43(4), 327–359.
- Kahneman, D. (2003). Maps of bounded rationality: Psychology for behavioral economics. *American Economic Review*, 93(5), 1449–1475. <https://doi.org/10.1257/000282803322655392>
- Kamienski, A. (2011). Competition: Charter and public elementary schools in Chicago. *Journal of School Choice*, 5(2), 161–181. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15582159.2011.576573>
- Kelly, M. G. (2019). A map is more than just a graph: Geospatial educational research and the importance of historical context. *AERA Open*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2332858419833346>

References from: Cobb, C. D. (2020).

- Koller, K., & Welsch, D. M. (2017). Location decisions of charter schools: an examination of Michigan. *Education Economics*, 25(2), 158–182. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09645292.2016.1203866>
- Kwan, M., & Ding, G. (2008). Geo-narrative: Extending geographic information systems for narrative analysis in qualitative and mixed-method research. *The Professional Geographer*, 60(4), 443–465. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00330120802211752>
- Kwan, M., & Schwanen, T. (2009). Quantitative revolution 2: The critical (re)turn. *The Professional Geographer*, 61(3), 283–291. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00330120902931903>
- LaFleur, J. C. (2016). Locating Chicago's charter schools: A socio-spatial analysis. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 24. <https://doi.org/10.14507/epaa.24.1745>
- Lubienski, C., & Dougherty, J. (2009). Mapping educational opportunity: Spatial analysis and school choices. *American Journal of Education*, 115, 485–491. <https://doi.org/10.1086/599783>
- Lubienski, C., Gulosino, C., & Weitzel, P. (2009). School choice and competitive incentives: Mapping the distribution of educational opportunities across local education markets. *American Journal of Education*, 115(4), 601–647. <https://doi.org/10.1086/599778>
- Lubienski, C., & Lee, J. (2017). Geo-spatial analyses in education research: The critical challenge and methodological possibilities. *Geographical Research*, 55(1), 89–99. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1745-5871.12188>
- Massey, D. S. (2001). Residential segregation and neighborhood conditions in US metropolitan areas. In N. J. Smelser, W. J. Wilson, & F. Mitchell (Eds.), *America becoming: Racial trends and their consequences* (Vol. 1, pp. 391–434). National Academies Press.
- Miller, P. (2012). Mapping educational opportunity zones: A geospatial analysis of neighborhood block groups. *Urban Review*, 44(2), 189–218. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11256-011-0189-7>
- Misra, K., Grimes, P. W., & Rogers, K. E. (2012). Does competition improve public school efficiency? A spatial analysis. *Economics of Education Review*, 31(6), 1177–1190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econedurev.2012.08.001>
- Nelson, L. K. (2013). *Research in communication sciences and disorders*. Plural.
- Oka, M., & Wong, D. W. (2014). Capturing the two dimensions of residential segregation at the neighborhood level for health research. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 2, 118. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2014.00118>
- Osypuk, T. L., & Acevedo-Garcia, D. (2010). Beyond individual neighborhoods: A geography of opportunity perspective for understanding racial/ethnic health disparities.



References from: Cobb, C. D. (2020).

*Health & Place*, 16(6), 1113–1123.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2010.07.002>

- Oyana, T. J. (2011). Exploring geographic disparities in broadband access and use in rural southern Illinois: Who's being left behind? *Government Information Quarterly*, 28(2), 252–261. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2010.09.003>
- Parsons, E., Chalkley, B., & Jones, A. (2000). School catchments and pupil movements: A case study in parental choice. *Educational Studies*, 26(1), 33–48. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03055690097727>
- Pastor, M. (2001). Geography and opportunity. In N. J. Smelser, W. J. Wilson, & Mitchell (Eds.), *America becoming: Racial trends and their consequences* (Vol.1, pp. 435–468). National Academies Press.
- Peterson, R. D., & Krivo, L. J. (2010). *Divergent social worlds: Neighborhood crime and the racial-spatial divide*. Russell Sage Foundation.
- Powers, J. M., Topper, A. M., & Potterton, A. U. (2017). Interdistrict mobility and charter schools in Arizona: Understanding the dynamics of public school choice. *Journal of Public Management & Social Policy*, 25(3), 56–87.
- Reece, J., Gambhir, S., Olinger, J., Martin, M., & Harris, M. (2009). *People, place and opportunity: Mapping communities of opportunity in Connecticut*. Kirwan Institute for the Study of Race and Ethnicity, Ohio State University.
- Reece, J., Gambhir, S., Powell, J. A., & Grant-Thomas, A. (2009). *The geography of opportunity: Building communities of opportunity in Massachusetts*. Kirwan Institute for the Study of Race and Ethnicity, Ohio State University.
- Reed, D. S. (2006). *Vouchers, desegregation and the segregationist academies: A spatial examination of the racial effects of school vouchers, in Alexandria, VA, 1960-1964* [Paper presentation]. American Political Science Association Policy History Conference, Charlottesville, VA, United States.
- Rehm, M., & Filippova, O. (2008). The impact of geographically defined school zones on house prices in New Zealand. *International Journal of Housing Markets and Analysis*, 1(4), 313–336. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17538270810908623>
- Richards, M. P. (2014). The gerrymandering of school attendance zones and the segregation of public schools: A geospatial analysis. *American Educational Research Journal*, 51(6), 1119–1157. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831214553652>
- Richards, M. P. (2017). Gerrymandering educational opportunity. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 99(3), 65–70. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0031721717739597>
- Richards, M. P., & Stroub, K. J. (2015). An accident of geography? Assessing the gerrymandering of public school attendance zones. *Teachers College Record*, 117(7), 1–32.

References from: Cobb, C. D. (2020).

- Rosenbaum, E. (2008). Racial/ethnic differences in asthma prevalence: the role of housing and neighborhood environments. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 49(2), 131–145. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002214650804900202>
- Saporito, S. (2017). Shaping income segregation in schools: The role of school attendance zone geography. *American Educational Research Journal*, 54(6), 1345–1377. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831217724116>
- Saporito, S., & Sohoni, D. (2006). Coloring outside the lines: Racial segregation in public schools and their attendance boundaries. *Sociology of Education*, 79(2), 81–105. <https://doi.org/10.1177/003804070607900201>
- Saporito, S., & Van Riper, D. (2016). Do irregularly-shaped school attendance zones contribute to racial segregation or integration? *Social Currents*, 3(1), 64–83. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2329496515604637>
- Saultz, A., Fitzpatrick, D., & Jacobsen, R. (2015). Exploring the supply side: Factors related to charter school openings in NYC. *Journal of School Choice*, 9(3), 446–466. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15582159.2015.1028829>
- Saultz, A., & Yaluma, C. B. (2017). Equal access? Analyzing charter location relative to demographics in Ohio. *Journal of School Choice*, 11(3), 458–476. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15582159.2017.1345239>
- Schafft, K. A., Jensen, E. B., & Hinrichs, C. C. (2009). Food deserts and overweight schoolchildren: Evidence from Pennsylvania. *Rural Sociology*, 74(2), 153–177. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1549-0831.2009.tb00387.x>
- Schultz, L. M. (2014). Inequitable dispersion: Mapping the distribution of highly qualified teachers in St. Louis metropolitan elementary schools. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 22(90). <https://doi.org/10.14507/epaa.v22n90.2014>
- Siegel-Hawley, G. (2013). Educational gerrymandering? Race and attendance boundaries in a demographically changing suburb. *Harvard Educational Review*, 83(4), 580–612. <https://doi.org/10.17763/haer.83.4.k385375245677131>
- Singleton, A. D., Longley, P. A., Allen, R., & O'Brien, O. (2011). Estimating secondary school catchment areas and the spatial equity of access. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 35(3), 241–249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compenvurbsys.2010.09.006>
- Smrekar, C., & Honey, N. (2015). The desegregation aims and demographic contexts of magnet schools: How parents choose and why siting policies matter. *Peabody Journal of Education*, 90(1), 128–155. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0161956X.2015.988545>
- Soja, E. W. (2010). *Seeking spatial justice*. University of Minnesota Press. <https://doi.org/10.5749/minnesota/9780816666676.001.0001>

References from: Cobb, C. D. (2020).

- Soja, E. W., & Chouinard, V. (1999). Thirdspace: Journeys to Los Angeles & other real & imagined places. *Canadian Geographer*, 43(2), 209–212.
- Squires, G. D., & Kubrin, C. E. (2006). *Privileged places: Race, residence, and the structure of opportunity*. Lynne Rienner.
- Sui, D. (2015). Emerging GIS themes and the six senses of the new mind: is GIS becoming a liberation technology? *Annals of GIS*, 21(1), 1–13.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/19475683.2014.992958>
- Talen, E. (2001). School, community, and spatial equity: An empirical investigation of access to elementary schools in West Virginia. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 91(3), 465–486. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0004-5608.00254>
- Talen, E., & Anselin, L. (1998). Assessing spatial equity: An evaluation of measures of accessibility to public playgrounds. *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space*, 30(4), 595–613. <https://doi.org/10.1068/a300595>
- Tate, W. F. (2008). “Geography of opportunity”: Poverty, place, and educational outcomes. *Educational Researcher*, 37(7), 397–411.  
<https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X08326409>
- Tate, W. F., & Striley, C. (2010). Epidemiology and education research: Dialoguing about disparities. *Teachers College Record*.  
<http://www.tcrecord.org/Content.asp?ContentId=16036>
- Taylor, C. M. (2007). Geographical information systems (GIS) and school choice: The use of spatial research tools in studying educational policy. In K. N. Gulson & C. Symes (Eds.), *Spatial theories of education: Policy and geography matters* (pp. 77–93). Routledge.
- Taylor, C. (2009). Choice, competition, and segregation in a United Kingdom urban education market. *American Journal of Education*, 115(4), 549–568.  
<https://doi.org/10.1086/599781>
- Tukey, J. W. (1977). *Exploratory data analysis*. Pearson.
- Waitoller, F. R., & Lubienski, C. (2019). Disability, race, and the geography of school choice: Toward an Intersectional analytical framework. *AERA Open*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2332858418822505>